



Department of Toxic Substances Control



5796 Corporate Avenue Cypress, California 90630

October 5, 2004

Mr. Bob Fischer Installation Restoration Program Manager 1605 Third Street, Building 504, Code 45RF Naval Air Facility El Centro El Centro, California 92243-5001

FINAL TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM EXTENDED SITE INVESTIGATION INSTALLATION RESTORATION (IR) SITE 10, SEWAGE STABILIZATION PONDS 2 AND 3, NAVAL AIR FACILITY EL CENTRO (NAFEC), IMPERIAL COUNTY, **CALIFORNIA**

Dear Mr Fischer:

The Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) reviewed the Final Technical Memorandum (FTM) for Site 10 – Sewage Stabilization Ponds 2 and 3 dated March 2004. The report presents results of an Extended Site Investigation (ESI) conducted at IR Site 10. Activities conducted during the ESI included surface soil sampling performed from January through April 2001 and groundwater sampling performed in September 2003.

IR Site 10 consists of three unlined ponds with bermed sides. The total area of Site 10 is approximately 6 acres. Initial investigations of these three ponds indicated the presence of priority pollutant metals, petroleum hydrocarbons, low levels of several volatile organic compounds (VOCs), three semi-voloatile organic compounds (SVOCs), DDE, Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs), cyanide, phenol, and organolead that pose a threat to human health and the environment

The Draft Technical Memorandum (DTM) submitted in July of 2001 addressed only Ponds 2 and 3. DTSC submitted comments on the DTM on September 10, 2001 and on January 15, 2002 and did not concur with the Navy's recommendation for a No Further Action (NFA) decision.

Ms. Monica Ryan October 5, 2004 Page 2

In the response to our comments on the DTM, the Navy stated that "Ponds 2 and 3 will be included in the Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis (EE/CA) which will evaluate all of IR Site 10 to determine the area that may be subject to removal". In the conclusion section of the FTM it is also stated that "it is likely that a recommendation for NFA will be made for one or both or Ponds 2 and 3".

A NFA recommendation in the EE/CA for Ponds 1 and 2 will not be accepted by DTSC as previously stated in our comments. Pond 3 may qualify for a NFA but Ponds 1 and 2 have contamination above the action levels and will require remediation. The EE/CA should provide a detailed evaluation of the remedial actions in accordance with the nine criteria of the National Contingency Plan for all three ponds.

We are looking forward to working with you to expedite the remedial activities at NAFEC. If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Isaac Hirbawi at (714) 484-5445 or at *ihirbawi@dtsc.ca.gov*.

Sincerely,

Emad B. Yemut, P.E.

Unit Chief

Office of Military Facilities Southern California Region

cc:

Mr. David Virginia
Environmental Specialist 1
California Regional Water Quality
Control Board, Colorado River Basin
73-720 Fred Waring Drive, Suite 100
Palm Desert, California 92206

Mr. Scott Humpert Associate Waste Management Engineer California Integrated Waste Management Board P.O. Box 4025 Sacramento, California 95812 Ms. Monica Ryan October 5, 2004 Page 3

Mr. Jim Hoyle CC:

Remedial Project Manager, Code 5DEN JH Naval Facilities Engineering Command

Southwest Division 1220 Pacific Highway San Diego, California 92132-5190